

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/668,601	0	9/24/2003	Aviv Shaish	25727	1529	
20529	7590	03/10/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
NATH & AS		ΓES	FLOOD, MICHELE C			
Alexandria, VA 22314				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1655		

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A It At At -	A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/668,601	SHAISH ET AL.				
omoc Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Michele Flood	1655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08	December 2005.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deposition of the deposition of the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the specific path of declaration is objected to by the Examin 11).	ccepted or b) objected to by the E e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ection is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 8, 2005 has been entered.

As the claims are drawn to more than one invention, a restriction is required as set forth below.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1 and 3-10, drawn to a method for reducing insulin and/or glucose plasma level(s) in a subject afflicted with diabetes comprising administrating to the subject an effective amount of crude Dunaliella powder, thereby reducing the subject's plasma insulin and/or glucose plasma level(s), classified in class 514, subclass 866 or class 424, subclass 195.17.
- II. Claims 2 and 11-18, drawn to a method fore increasing HDL cholesterol levels in the plasma of a subject having low HLD cholesterol levels comprising administrating to the subject an effect amount of crude

Art Unit: 1655

Dunaliella powder, thereby increasing the HDL cholesterol level in a statistically significant manner, classified in class 514, subclass 783 or class 424, subclass 195.17.

- III. Claims 19-26, drawn to a method for treating atherosclerosis comprising administrating to a subject in need thereof an effective amount of crude Dunaliella powder together with one or more activators of nuclear receptors, thereby treating the disease, classified in class 514, subclass 824 or class 424, subclass 195.17.
- IV. Claim 27, drawn to a method for reducing the size of an atherosclerotic plaque or lesion at the aortic sinus of a subject afflicted with atherosclerosis comprising administrating to the subject an effective amount of crude Dunaliella powder together with one or more activators of nuclear receptors, thereby reducing the size of the aortic sinus plaque or lesion, classified in class 514, subclass 824 or class 424, subclass 195.17.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the four different groups are directed to four different methods of treating four different disease conditions comprising the administration of an effective amount of a claim-designated plant extract either alone or in combination with other ingredients.

Art Unit: 1655

The several inventions above are independent and distinct, each from the other. The search for each of the above inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further a reference which would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group. Finally, the consideration for patentability is different in each case. Thus, it would be an undue burden to examine all of the above inventions in one application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Art Unit: 1655

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 5

Art Unit: 1655

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michele Flood whose telephone number is 571-272-

0964. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on 571-272-0775. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michele Flood Primary Examiner Art Unit 1655 Page 6

MCF

February 28, 2006

MICHELE FLOOD PRIMARY EXAMINER